Minutes of the previous meeting
Passed with no matters arising

Ratifications in Council
Anthony Fairclough (Somerville) read out a letter from the proctors noting that in Hilary last year OUSU had difficulty holding meetings because of deliberate attempts at obstruction and saying that last year’s warnings remain in force.

Oxford Student
Editors
Mark Molding (Somerville)
Jake Ellwood (Corpus)

Deputy Editors
News and Sport
Mark Coates (Trinity)
OX2
Martin Sainsbury (Corpus)

Columns and Features
Clare Wooler (Mansfield)

Alternative Prospectus
David Milliken (Merton)
Chris Slocombe (Merton)

Law Careers Handbook
Laura James
All ratified

Sabbatical Reports
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) advertised the launch of the Gold Ribbon campaign and said that reports from the Exec on ultra vires and university police were forthcoming.

Tony Lord (Wadham) reminded people about the Living Out Guide.

Jane Blumer (St Hilda’s) asked anyone who knew people who would like more info about the student parent network and childcare to tell them to get in touch.

Steph Gray (Pembroke) said he had posters to give out at the end advertising various things for people to put in colleges.

Rhodri Thomas (St Anne’s) thanked JCRs for passing motions about library opening hours.

John Storey (Somerville) asked Nick Smith if he had contacted MCRs about the motion of no confidence in R Storch and if so whether he had done so using OUSU facilities and OUSU time.

Nick Smith (LMH) said he had and that he was entitled to do so using OUSU resources.

John Storey (Somerville) Asked if Nick Smith had put forward his views on Storch’s candidature.

Nick Smith (LMH) confirmed he had.

Jason Powell (Univ) thought Nick Smith’s e-mail inappropriate.

Executive Reports
Vino Sangarapillai (St Hugh’s) advertised the secular service in Wadham on Saturday 2nd week for Holocaust Memorial Day.

Viv Raper (Mansfield) advertised co-chair vacancies for Women’s and Accomodation committee.

Sonia Sodha (St Hilda’s) asked for help that weekend stuffing envelopes for Target Schools.

Sean Sullivan (SEH) advertised co-chair vacancies for his committee.

George Callaghan (Univ) said he was regularly ill on Mondays and could not therefore attend exec meetings.
**Passage of Motions Nem Com**

None passed.

**Motions of No Confidence or Censure**

i.1 Motion of No Confidence in R Adam Storch

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) proposed an amendment to remove Council Notes (2)

*No objections*

SFQs:

John Storey (Somerville) why the word invalid was used

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said that she believed Storch would not do his job properly, that he had not faced a ballot of the electorate and that he would still be in receipt of a £9000 salary from OUSU. She noted the difficulties if he were to be no censured at a later date - OUSU would be VP Grads-less for most of the next academic year.

John Storey (Somerville) said that graduates were not represented fairly in Council and the decision should be up to them. Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) pointed out this was the point of a graduate only referendum.

Sean Sullivan (SEH) asked how many current sabs were elected unopposed.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's): Two

Adam Killeya (Balliol): Asked how Adam Storck had breached his job.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said his manifesto clearly breached his job description.

Anthony Russdale (ChCh) asked how people could know what Storch thought when he wasn’t there.

Someone pointed out he was.

? asked whether OUSU members were allowed to change OUSU.

George Callaghan (Univ) said the election would be a quasi referendum.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said it wouldn’t.

Anatole Pang (Wadham) said Storch should be given a chance in the job.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) again pointed out that this would mean no VP Grads till the following March if he was no conned in MT2001. She said this would be negligent.

John Storey (Somerville) asked if people who did not submit a manifesto would be guilty of not committing to the job

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said she couldn’t comment

Jamie Gardiner (Somerville) accused Ruth Hunt of trying to no con someone she didn’t agree with.

Nick Bennett (Lincoln) said it was wrong to no con Storch before he was officially validated.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said it was necessary due to time pressure.

Daniel Johnson (CCC) said Storch’s manifesto hadn’t breached the job description.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) read out some of his manifesto and said it clearly did.

Adam Killeya (Balliol) asked why a graduate hadn’t proposed the motion

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's) said because the sabs-elect had come to a joint decision to propose the motion themselves.
Jonathon ? (ChCh) wanted the difference between a no con and OUGU referendum

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda’s) said for the latter you need 500 signatures to call it.

Sean Sullivan (SEH) said this was an invalid no con due to not being submitted on time.

Richard Coates (Exeter) asked when the motion was submitted.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda’s) said she would need to ask the office staff at OUSU.

John Storey (Somerville) said this was crucial and that the motion could be illegitimate due to timing.

Anthony Fairclough (Somerville) disagreed.

David Mitchell (St Peter’s) said there was apathy among graduates and that there would be a low turnout at a potential referendum.

David Brewis (Oriel) noted the difference in accounts of timing of submission of the motion.

George Callaghan (Univ) said the timing was vital if ‘OUSU’ was seeking to deprive Storch of his elected position.

Anthony Fairclough proposed move into debate, no objections.

Debate:

Opposition

Sean Sullivan (SEH) said Council had no right to no confidence Storch. OUSU had claimed falsely to have been oppressed by the Proctors in their validation of Storch’s nomination.

Ruth Hunt (St Hilda’s) said in a point of information that the amended motion no longer questioned the validity of Storch’s nomination.

Sean Sullivan (SEH) said the OxStu statement from the sabs elect said differently, that OUSU’s behaviour was farcical and that Ruth should have put up an anti-Storch candidate in the elections and that this no-con was political in nature. This motion would set a precedent for any unopposed sab to be no conned, he appealed to JCR Presidents to vote against the motion.

Pedro Wrobel (St Anne’s) disagreed with Sean and said some stupid questions had been asked.

Sasha Ismail (Somerville) said the pro-Storch arguments were ludicrous and that the motion widened choice.

Jane Blumer (St Hilda’s) said it was the job of OUSU Council to decide who was fit to do their job, and that the graduate services had been improved in OUSU and it would be a shame for this to stop.

Richard Coates (Exeter) said this motion did not give graduates a chance to decide.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said graduates would be given a choice in the referendum. Using the term ‘get rid of’ in relation to Storch was wrong.

Eleanor Fletcher (Worcester) said she did not want Storch no-conned because he wanted to reform OUSU but that reform should come internally. Quoted Storch’s manifesto saying he wanted no further connection with OUSU.

Viv Raper (Mansfield) said she was speaking against the motion because Storch’s manifesto disagreed with the prevailing system but that was all. She thought if OUSU proved people have the right to reform it it would be good.

John Craig (New) said that Storch was claiming to have a mandate not to represent graduates, and that this was wrong since MCRs are affiliated to OUSU. The issue should be put to graduates in a referendum so they can decide.

Jon Worth (Merton) said that lessening representation of students will bring OUSU into disrepute even more. Such fundamental change needs a greater mandate. If the VP Grads wants to abolish his position people need to vote on it.

James Rowlands (Pembroke) Council does have the right to no con Storch as grads have the right to choice and the sabs elect have a duty to the students they were elected to represent.

R Storch (Univ) said he believed grads would be represented by another body, which he foresaw working with OUSU. There’ll be a referendum on this issue anyway so graduates will get a chance to vote.
Daniel Johnson (CCC) claimed the press release from the sabs elect represented an organised campaign against him. How would OUSU ever be reformed if people proposing reform were no conned? There should be motions from MCR Presidents if this is truly supported by graduates. A referendum is a waste of money and time.

John Storey (Somerville) when he was no conned he did something wrong but Storch hasn't.

Jane McTaggart (Harris Manchester) a sabbatical position is paid. This means you should be able to expect them to do the job. If the sabs elect don't believe he can do the job this is important as he will be working in a team with them.

MTV - Ed Turner (Nuffield)
Opposition - Jason Powell (Univ)

Ed Turner (Nuffield) said the debate had been more balanced - time to move on.

Jason Powell (Univ) wanted to speak.

MTV carried

Recorded vote proposed - Matt Taylor (St Benet's Hall)
No opposition

Summary

For
Ruth Hunt (St Hilda's): Wants to give choice to graduates - she doesn't think he'll do his job

Opp
Alex da Costa (St Hilda's) said that democracy was rule by the people and this motion defied that principle.

Motion passed – see recorded vote

m Other Motions

m.i

Procedural motion (Pedro Wrobel) to move motion 4 up the agenda

For
Pedro Wrobel (St Anne's) said he had to go soon but had a strong mandate from his JCR on this motion.

Against
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) said the RO’s report was a more important motion.

Passed

Motion 4: National Shutdown

SFQs

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked if it would entail expenditure which would be ultra vires.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) confirmed it wouldn't;

Tej Dhami (LMH) asked if the shutdown would be under the heading of Grants not Fees or anti top up fees.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said the NUS were doing it under the label of Grants not Fees but the Oxford shutdown does not have to be carried out under this banner.

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) asked what it entailed exactly.
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said the NUS had negotiated with SUs countrywide and the hope was that students would boycott lectures and other academic commitments that day.

Andrew Hewitt (Queens) asked whether there would be a presence outside exam schools.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) pointed out OUSU cannot prevent this happening but that this would not necessarily have OUSU support.

David Brewis (Oriel) asked about the potential effects of the shutdown.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said the NUS were hoping for significant media attention.

Alexis da Costa (St Hilda’s) asked how the NUS was gaining support for this.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said she wasn’t sure about details as wanted a general mandate from Council before planning details of the Oxford shutdown.

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked about a potential Entz event.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol); Possibly Miss Monneypenny

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked about the cost to OUSU.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said it was intended that the event would break even.

Abi Lloyd (Mansfield) asked what the university opinion was.

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said OUSU would be aware of what it was.

Pedro Wrobel (St Anne’s) asked which teacher’s unions were supporting the shutdown?

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said NATFHE and AUT

Debate

Opposition

George Callaghan (Univ) said if a tutor had prepared for a tutorial a student did not attend it would be a waste of resources.

Pedro Wrobel (St Anne’s) said this motion had been put to his JCR and there had been concern that it wouldn’t work (it could end up in bad publicity) and that tutors would not be willing to rearrange tutorials due to their workload.

Amendment moved

Amendment to National Shutdown:

Add

Council resolves

(4) That OUSU would publicly condemn any picket lines or pressure on students wishing to attend their lectures.

Proposed: Alex da Costa (St Hilda’s)

Alex da Costa (St Hilda’s) said people should not be penalised for not boycotting academic commitments on the day of the shutdown.

SFQs

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked if it was anything to do with the picket last term.

Alex da Costa (St Hilda’s) said it wasn’t.

Debate

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said the amendment was too broad and meant Council would be condemning the principle of pickets in general – it also did not define what pressure was.
MTV heard, Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall)

For
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked people to vote this down so Alex da Costa could propose another amendment.

Against
George Callaghan (Univ) wanted more time to discuss the amendment.

Move to vote passed

Summary

For
Alex da Costa said not to vote for the amendment.

Against
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) also said not to vote for it.

Amendment not passed.

MTV on motion, David Mitchell (St Peter’s)

For
David Mitchell (St Peter’s) said time was short

Against
John Storey (Somerville) said he wanted to propose another amendment on picket lines.

MTV passed

Recorded vote requested, Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall)

For
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) said people should see how people vote.

Against
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said it was too time consuming to make it worthwhile for this issue.

Vote on recorded vote failed

Secret ballot requested, John Storey (Somerville)

For
John Storey (Somerville) said some people might want to vote secretly.

Against
Tony Lord (Wadham) said voting reps should be responsible to their own JCRs on this issue.

Vote on secret ballot failed

Summation speeches on motion

For
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said this was a good opportunity for media attention, people won’t be made to do anything, all OUSU would do if this motion passed would be to organise the event, and OUSU would condemn any violence involved if it was.

Against
Pedro Wrobel (St Anne’s) said the motion became controversial when his JCR’s concerns were not dealt with.

Motion passed (all three St Anne’s reps opposed the motion)

m.1 Returning Officer’s Report

Tony Lord (Wadham) said he was happy to take questions but pointed out you didn’t have to agree with the report and his opinion to pass the motion.
SFQs:

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked why there was no reference to the proctor’s ruling.

Tony Lord (Wadham) said there was.

Dan Paskins (Magd) asked if special weight would be given to the recommendations if the report were to be passed.

Tony Lord (Wadham): no

Viv Raper (Mansfield) asked what people could do if they were upset with it.

Tony Lord (Wadham) said to raise the points in debate or with him.

Opposition

Daniel Johnson (CCC) said the report and comments quoted from certain candidates was not appropriate.

Debate:

Tony Lord (Wadham) said the report was tamer than those before and he was allowed to express his opinion.

Jane Blumer (St Hilda’s) agreed Tony’s report was appropriate.

MTV, Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall)

For:
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) said it was fine.

Against:
George Callaghan (Univ) said he didn’t like the reference to himself in the report.

MTV passed

Summary

For:
Tony Lord (Wadham) said to see him with any further questions.

Against
George Callaghan (Univ) said he wanted a chance to expose this report.

Passed.

Speaking rights proposed for Tom Mackrell by Steph Gray (Pembroke), no opposition

m.2 Publications Accounts

Steph Gray (Pembroke) explained these were accounts for last year’s publications.

Opposition:
John Storey (Somerville) asked what ‘still owing’ meant and why it was so big for the Ox Stu.

Steph Gray (Pembroke) said the money will be retrieved – companies have signed contracts.

Alexis da Costa (Hilda’) asked about the timescale of payment.

Tom Mackrell (Wadham) said it was a matter of chasing people up for it.

Edward Swann (Balliol) asked about the deficit for the Ox Stu.

Steph Gray (Pembroke) said the Ox Stu was in deficit last year though it has made an income this term.

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked if any money had been recovered since 1st Dec.
Tom Mackrell (Wadham) said he didn’t know.

MTV heard – passed w/o objection.

Summary
Steph Gray (Pembroke) said the still owing money would be recovered soon.

Jane McTaggard (Harris Manchester) said it already should have been.

Motion passed

m.3 Stagecoach ban

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said the original reasons for the Stagecoach ban passed by Council in TT2000 no longer existed – Keep the Clause Campaign in Scotland was over. It had had serious financial repercussions for OUSU.

SFQs
Dan Paskins (Magd) asked if Oxford Handbook went into deficit.
Steph Gray (Pembroke) said it had.
Abigail Coates (Hilda’s) asked if this would mean there could be a Stagecoach advert in the LGBT handbook next year.
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said yes.
Ben Harris (St Hugh’s) asked if Sean had consulted with Ethics committee or Queer Right.
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said no.
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked if suggestions for alternative advertising had been pursued.
Tom Mackrell (Wadham) said the army was not interested in advertising locally.
David Brewis (oriel) asked if Stagecoach would want to place adverts.
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said this was irrelevant.
John Storey (Somerville) asked if there was any evidence to suggest Souter had changed his opinion on homosexuality.
Sean Sullivan (teddy Hall) said this was not the issue, the Keep the Clause campaign was.
Mel Marshall (ChCh) asked if Stagecoach were aware of the ban.
Tom Mackrell (Wadham): not since he started.
Fraser Campbell (Pembroke) asked if it had affected Souter’s campaign.
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall): don’t know.

Debate:

Opposition
Dan Paskins (Magd) said that OUSU had principles opposing homophobia and discrimination and should stick to them, and that OUSU had not seen a reduction in services as a result.
Tony Lord (Wadham) said a direct impact had been the loss of the student advice service.

Amendment proposed - ? think Tony or Anthony has this

James Kettle (New) said his amendment made the motion seem less like retreating from the ban.

SFQs:

? Price (LMH) asked if the amended motion would still lift ban?
James Ketle (New) said yes.

Matthew Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked how the ban was a celebration when no one knew about it.

Cat Muge (Wadham) noted that Stagecoach profit was going down so maybe the ban had an albeit small effect on profits.

**Debate on amendment**

**Opposition**

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said the ban wasn’t a celebration, it alienated a lot of students.

**MTV heard – not opposition**

**Summary**

Ben Harris (St Hugh’s) said the amendment reaffirmed that the boycott is a tool which can be used.

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said this was not an issue.

**Amendment carried**

**MTV – no objection**

**Summary:**

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) highlighted the financial reasons for lifting the ban.

No opposition, passed nem con.

**Procedural motion to move motion 6 up agenda moved, Sasha Ismail (Somerville), no opposition.**

**m.6 NUS Black Students’ officer**

Sacha Ismail (Somerville) said that if the motion was not considered at the next NUS conference, the campaign for a full time black students’ officer would have to wait another year.

**SFQs:**

Edward Swann (Balliol) asked about a motion about black students’ to NUS conference this year to change name.

Sacha Ismail (Somerville) said this was a separate issue.

John Storey (Somerville) asked what liberation committees in the NUS are.

Sacha Ismail (Somerville) said they were autonomous campaigns.

David Brewis (Oriel) asked what the effect of a full time officer would be.

Sacha Ismail (Somerville) said that the existing officer would have more time to work, that his other responsibilities apart from combating racism included issues such as curricular agendas, earnings differentials between white and black graduates and underrepresentation of black students in SUs.

**Debate**

**Opposition**

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said OUSU was not affiliated to the NUS, that it therefore should not get involved in this issue. Also minorities should not receive representation leaving other groups without any.

**MTV, Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall)**

**For**

Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) said it was not controversial

**Against**

Sasha Ismail (Somerville) said there were serious concerns needing to be addressed.
MTV passed

Summary
Sasha Ismail (Somerville) said that this motion would help the officer to do their job properly.

Sean Sullivan (teddy Hall) said this motion was the business of individual JCRs.

Motion passed.

Joel Brookfield (Keble) asked which exec officers which were not present/had left had given apologies.

Anthony Fairclough (Somerville) said that Heenal Rajani and Regan Early had, and Fiona Dewar and Natalie Lancer hadn’t.

m.5 NUS Nomination Motion

Antonia Bance (Somerville) said Carli Harper-Penman was a very good candidate.

SFQs:

Tony Lord (wadham) asked whether Antonia’s position as VP Women elect of OUSU would go on Carli’s nomination form.

Antonia Bance (Somerville): no, but on publicity it would.

Simon Basey (CCC) asked what her politics were.

Antonia Bance (Somerville) said she was part of the united left slate, and believed in free education and was a good welfare officer.

Mel Marshall (ChCh) asked what this support would entail.

Antonia Bance (Somerville): names on posters, handing out publicity at NUS conference

Jane Blumer (Hilda’s) asked if Carli would represent the view of most Oxford students.

Antonia bance (Somerville): yes – free education and good welfare provision.

? Price (LMH) asked who else was standing for position.

Antonia Bance (Somerville): Won’t know until deadline for nominations, but possibly Clare Hober (?)

? Price (LMH) asked about the difference between the two.

Antonia Bance (LMH): won’t know until close of nominations.

Sacha Ismail (Somerville): The national organisation of Labour students doesn’t support free education

Karim Palant (New): Actually they support a mixed combination of targeted grants.

Greg Wingate (Balliol) asked if she could come to OUSU.

Antonia Bance (Somerville): No, due to time constraints.

Joel Brookfield (Keble) asked if Carli was a member of any other political organisation apart from CFE.

Antonia Bance (Somerville) said she didn’t think so.

Sasha Ismail (Somerville) asked if OUSU was affiliated to the CFE and if its policy was closer to OUSU’s than that of Labour students.

Antonia Bance (Somerville) said yes.
MTV heard

Opposition
Abigail Coates (St Hilda’s) said that although if Antonia publicised the fact that she was VP(Women) elect of OUSU on Carli’s publicity this would imply that she had the support of OUSU and Oxford students. However, OUSU does not have a vote at NUS conference therefore lending support to her is not appropriate.

Tony Lord (Wadham) said that OUSU was not a constituent member of the NUS and should therefore not have views on their elections.

MTV heard, Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall)
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) said motion 7 was more important.

Jane Blumer (St Hilda’s) said Council had only heard 2 speeches.

MTV passed

Summary

For
Antonia Bance (Somerville) said that using her position as OUSU VP Women elect on Carli’s publicity does not imply OUSU support for the candidate. The NUS is not relevant at the moment because it does not have good officers and this is what she is trying to ensure.

Against
Jane Blumer (St Hilda’s) said OUSU was not a member of the NUS and a sabbatical elect title should therefore not be used in Carli’s election publicity.

Motion failed
16 in favour, 25 against, 5 abstentions

m.7 Ethics Committee

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said the motion was not very controversial and to vote for it.

SFQs:
Jane McTaggart (Harris Manchester) asked what information OUSU has got from bodies which give advice on this issue.
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said that consultation would take place once a mandate had been received from Council.
John Storey (Somerville) asked whether there was evidence of AK47s being sold to Angolan rebels.
Tom Harrison (St Hugh’s) said yes.
Matt Taylor (St Benet’s Hall) asked how people knew the AK47s were British.
Alex da Costa (St Hilda’s) asked whether this motion set a precedent for asking about all forms inethical investment.
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol): yes
? Price (LMH) asked how many Council hours it would take to scrutinise investment.
Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said that university investment will be scrutinised, but not by OUSU Council.

Move to debate:

Opinion
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said he had serious concerns, SUs should not be involved in these sorts of campaigns, students should get involved independently. He had heard rumours of demos involving students in sub fusc following the chancellor round with fake sub machine guns. This motion would politicise ethics committee, which should be an independent forum.

John Storey (Somerville) asked Sean if he had a vested interest in reducing his own workload as Ethics exec officer.
Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said no, his concern was that the committee should be independent.

Tony Lord (Wadham) pointed out that advertising bans are political in themselves.

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) conceded this but said the committee should be independent.

Abigail Coates (St Hilda's) asked Sean to clarify why the committee was independent.

Sean Sullivan (Teddy Hall) said the committee should not be able to campaign.

*MTV heard, Adam Killeya (Balliol)*

Adam Killeya (Balliol) said that Council had heard enough.

Mel Marshall (ChCh) said that Sean’s speech had been factually inaccurate and the proposers should have a chance to correct it.

*MTV failed (15 for, 20 against, 3 abstentions)*

Jane McTaggart (Harris Manchester) said she was very much in favour of ethical investment in principle having worked on Wiltshire County Council on the issue. But involving ethics committee was not a recipe for success.

Mel Marshall (ChCh) said there was nothing controversial in the motion—doesn’t allow ethics committee to define what ethical is—this will be decided by Council. This merely is the first step in setting up a structure for scrutiny by students of how the university invests their money.

*MTV heard, Dan Paskins (Magdalen)*

Dan Paskins (Magdalen) said that the issues has been cleared up.

Tony Lord (Wadham) said there were still a few more points to be raised.

*MTV passed*

**Summary**

**For**

Kirsty McNeill (Balliol) said that ethics committee by nature was about scrutinising the ethicality of certain decisions—this motion merely extends this housekeeping principle to other things. Sean’s argument that people should seek to do this outside of the SU if they are interested does not hold. This motion merely extends the scope of the committee, it does not alter its fundamental nature.

**Against**

? Price (LMH) said this motion clearly mandated Ethics committee to campaign in conjunction with People and Planet, who OUSU is not affiliated to.

*Motion passed*