

5th Week Hilary Term 2017

TIME: 5:30pm

DATE: Wednesday 15th February 2017

LOCATION: Maplethorpe Hall, St Hugh's College

We aim to make Council as accessible as possible, and ensure that it is always in accessible venues. However, if there are any accessibility requirements that we are not meeting for yourself or others, please contact:

OUSU's Democratic Support Officer, Josh O'Connor:

01865 611831 | dso@ousu.ox.ac.uk

Current OUSU Council Discretionary Fund: £770

If you have any questions about OUSU Council, please feel free to contact:

Chair of OUSU Council: chair@ousu.ox.ac.uk

a. Minutes of the previous meeting

b. Matters arising from the minutes

c. Elections in council

d. Reports from and questions to the Sabbatical Trustees, Executive Officers, Representatives of the OUSU Campaigns and RAG (Raise and Give)

e. Items for resolution

1. Request for funding for International Women's Day/Strike for Repeal event
2. Defend Local Government that Works for Oxford and its Students
3. Bye-Law Amendment 1st Reading
4. SW Support Motion
5. Supporting students walk out against racism, fascism and xenophobia

Below the Line*

6. WomCam Constitution Motion
7. Campaign Reps for Exec

f. Items for debate

g. Any other business

* We mark some items as "below the line" if we think they are uncontroversial – these will not be discussed unless someone in Council requests this, and at least 1/4 of voting members present agree.

Please Note:

OUSU's team will be filming elements of Council as part of a new marketing and communication plan to promote OUSU Council. If you are not happy to be filmed or would like to remain out of the footage please contact:

Matt Tennant, Membership Services Manager: msm@ousu.ox.ac.uk or

Jo Gregory-Brough, Communications Manager: commsmanager@ousu.ox.ac.uk

OUSU may be trialling the use of electronic voting pads in Council. These will be explained at the beginning of Council.

a. Minutes of the previous meeting

b. Matters arising from the minutes

Jack Hampton, OUSU President: Two matters arising. Wrote to home secretary regarding orgreave enquiry and received a response.

"Thank you for your letter of 17 January to the Home Secretary about her decision not to establish an inquiry into the events that occurred at the Orgreave coking plant in June 1984 and subsequently. Your letter has been forwarded to the Direct Communications Unit for a reply.

The Home Secretary has carefully considered a submission from the Orgreave Truth and Justice Campaign (OTJC) on the need for an inquiry relating to the policing of events at Orgreave in 1984, and met the OTJC and other campaign supporters (including the former Shadow Home Secretary) on 13 September to discuss their concerns. She acknowledged that it had been a difficult decision to make.

In determining whether or not to establish a statutory inquiry or other review, we considered a number of factors, reviewed a range of documents, carefully scrutinised the arguments contained in the Campaign's submission and spoke to members of the Campaign. We concluded that neither an inquiry nor a review was required to allay public concern at this stage, more than 30 years after the events in question.

Despite the forceful accounts and arguments provided by the campaigners and former miners who were present that day about the effect that these events have had on them, ultimately there were no deaths or wrongful convictions. Crucially, there have been very significant changes to policing since 1984, at every level, including major reforms to criminal procedure, changes to public order policing and practice, stronger external scrutiny and greater local accountability.

The focus should now be on continuing to ensure that the policing system is the best it can be for the future, including through reforms currently before Parliament in the Policing and Crime Bill, so that the public can have the best possible policing both in South Yorkshire and across the country.

Yours sincerely, L Sheen"

Second matter arising relates to how officers will be scrutinized and how OUSU will fill the large number of elected position currently vacant. Jack plans to recruit scrutiny at next council and reassures council that officers are being strongly internally scrutinized by OUSU. Jack will bring a plan to trinity council outlining how he will marry up internal scrutiny with external scrutiny. There is a plan to better advertise the elected roles, graduate positions will be included in a graduate all student email, will advertise the chair of council, steering committee and scrutiny as jobs. Budget advisory has been pulled as the committee isn't used.

c. Elections in Council

Chair of Council - to chair meetings of OUSU Council (and Council's Steering

Committee) for Hilary Term 2017.

Scrutiny Committee (x2) – to interview the Sabbatical officers and write a termly report to Council, in order to scrutinise the officers' work. To serve for a year until the end of Michaelmas Term 2017.

Steering Committee (x1) – The Steering Committee has particular responsibility for compiling the agenda for Council meetings, and considering proposals relating to OUSU's governing documents.

Budget Advisory Group (x3) – The budget advisory group considers OUSU's budget and such other matters as the Finance Committee may from time to time refer to it. It may report or offer advice to the Finance Committee, Council or the Board on all matters under its consideration.

JSc(EC)SM (Joint Subcommittee of the Education Committee with Student Members) (x1) – The principal committee for OUSU's relationship with the University. Responsible for reviewing the democratic procedures of the Student Union, such as our elections and budget. Must be filled by a graduate student. To serve for two meetings (one in Hilary Term 2017; one in Trinity Term 2017).

Language Centre Committee (x2) – One of these positions must be filled by a graduate student. To serve from Michaelmas 2016 to Trinity 2017.

None.

d. Reports from and questions to the Sabbatical Officers, Executive Officers, Representatives of the OUSU Campaigns and RAG (Raise and Give)

Orla White, VP Women: womcam elects have been rescheduled to this Monday. Warned that a motion has themes of abortion so council has the opportunity to prepare themselves.

Eden Bailey, VP AccAff: Focus group with undergraduate admissions and outreach office and equality and diversity unit to talk about BME access and admissions. Great turnout. Also been looking at setting up a campaign for working class students. Thirdly, boycott the NSS.

Beth Currie, VP CC: working with city council of voter registration drive ahead of county council elections on 4th May. Working with nightline of their 5th week blues freddo campaign. Marina and Beth did a focus group for employed graduate students. RAG fashion show is in two weeks also RAG blind date is still open until

next week and RAG elections are next Wednesday.

Sandy Downs, VP WEO: Has been working on student wellbeing committee.

University mental health day is coming up on March 2nd and OUSU will be bringing dogs. New project with good night out and other interested students. NUS has just released a survey about prevent which is really important. Disability community elections, four positions available.

Marina Lambrakis, VP Grad: Working group looking at graduate suspension status. Looking to join efforts with Suscam. Teaching awards nominations are now live until end of term. There's a new award for best postgraduate teacher. Running an exam prep session for mature graduate students. Put together a 'crack team' to lobby on the issues concerning the Tinbergen building.

Jack Hampton, OUSU President: Tinbergen building predominantly houses the departments of psychology and zoology but has many other departments. Building was closed on Monday. 800 building users. Large impact on the student experience on a number of groups. Told about it on Friday, met with academic registrar, attended the briefings. Organising a meeting with all the course reps from the affected departments to plan what OUSU should be lobbying on.

No questions for the sabbs

No other reports to council

No items brought above the line

Dan, Queen's: request to move item two up the agenda.

No opposition

e. Items for Resolution

2. Defend Local Government that Works for Oxford and its Students

Council Notes:

1. Oxford is currently served by a two-tier system of local government, with Oxford City Council providing services such as planning, leisure and waste collection, and Oxfordshire County Council responsible for services such as libraries, schools, and social care.
2. In recent years, the Conservative-led Oxfordshire County Council has implemented devastating cuts, including closing down all of its children's centres and drastic cuts to its homelessness services.
3. Oxfordshire County Council is proposing to replace Oxfordshire's two-tier system of local government with a single unitary authority for the whole of Oxfordshire.

4. This proposal, 'One Oxfordshire', is currently supported by three Conservative-led councils: Oxfordshire County Council, South Oxfordshire District Council, and the Vale of White Horse District Council. It is strongly opposed by the Labour-led Oxford City Council and the Conservative-led West Oxfordshire District Council and Cherwell District Council.

Council Believes:

1. Oxford is very different from the surrounding rural area, not least due to Oxford's high proportion of students.
2. The residents of Oxford need their local government to reflect their distinct interests, not the interests the very different surrounding rural area.
3. If the 'One Oxfordshire' proposal were to be implemented, it is very likely that it would be Conservative-led, which would result in a very different (and highly damaging) approach to local government.
4. The 'One Oxfordshire' proposal would be a disaster for the people of Oxford, including its students.

Council Resolves:

1. To mandate the Sabbatical Officers to contact the leaders of Oxford City Council and Oxfordshire County Council to express OUSU's view that the 'One Oxfordshire' proposal is not in the interests of Oxford University students or the people of Oxford more broadly.
2. To mandate the Sabbatical Officers to encourage Oxford University students to state their opposition to the 'One Oxfordshire' proposals in any consultations on the matter.

Proposed: Dan Iley-Williamson, The Queen's College

Seconded: Katie Oldham, Wadham College

Dan, Queen's College: request to give speaking rights to Marie Tidball Oxford City Councillor

No opposition

Speaking rights given

Dan, Queen's College: Plan to replace all local councils in oxford with one county council. Rural areas of oxfordshire are very different and a single council would fail to represent that.

Marie Tidball: One oxfordshire is con oxfordshire. There have been lots of cuts from the county council. The one oxfordshire plan is expensive. Conservatives have not done a good job in the rural districts Labour has done a good job with the city. - run out of time -

Short factual questions

???, Magdalen College: Should OUSU Council be taking party political stances?

Marie Tidball: Issue is beyond party lines as two district councils are conservative and against the proposal.

Tom Barringer, St Hugh's College: propes amendment to remove believes 3

Marie Tidball: The redrawing of the boundaries would have structural political impact therefore the city would lose its voice.

Dan, Queen's College: amendment not taken as friendly

Marina Lambrakis, VP Grad: What impact does one oxfordshire have on the local plan?

Marie Tidball: Plan is an interesting point to make. Chancellor has stated this one Oxfordshire is not something that is good to do. The one Oxfordshire has been put through after the cuts budget and this is generally an expensive rebrand. It would affect the local plan which looks at the services plan across the city. One Oxfordshire would mean the new county council would take over the plan meaning you lose the local voice. The local area board being proposed would not have the current scrutiny function.

Amendment to remove believes 3 has been taken as hostile

Tom Barringer, St Hugh's college: In support of the motion. OUSU is not a party political union therefore we should take the party political language out

Dan, Queen's College: Oxford city council is Labour and the districts are conservative. The conservatives have implemented cuts. The two parties have different approaches to local government.

Vote on amendment passes with 26 for, 16 against and 3 abstentions

Point of information Jack Hampton, OUSU President: Local plan is a document which contains the planning framework for the operations of the local city government for the next 20 years. Currently is being rewritten and OUSU is feeding into it.

Procedural motion to take issue to all student consultation Alistair Graham, St John's College

Dan, Queen's College: There is currently a public consultation planned on next Friday. Therefore if we have an all student consultation there wouldn't be time to voice OUSU's position at that.

Alistair Graham, StJohn's College: Concerned the motion is being rushed through and council cannot be said to represent the student body on this issue. Could have an extraordinary council. Not heard the otherside of the argument.

Lucas, Wadham College: Should discuss this in the discussion section of council. There isn't time to take it to all student consultation.

Tom Barringer, StHugh's College: The majority of voters in this room were democratically elected so can represent the student body.

???: motion is technical and asks how many students have spoken to reps about local government? We should do an all student consultation.

Eden Bailey, VP AccAff: All student consultaion isn't needed as it is unlikely to be more representative than council on this motion.

Point of imformaton Jack Hampton, OUSU President: if a vote is inconclusive then it will automatically go to an all student consultation.

Conner, Magdelane College: Council is not informed enough to make a call as we only have one point of view.

Marina Lambrakis, VP Grad: All argument to move to all student consultation could apply to any motion brought to council. The motion has been on the agenda since Monday and this is how our democratic system works.

Move to vote called

No opposition

Motion falls 2 for, 45 against, 3 absteintions

???: The fact there is no opposition and the presentation is party politically biased. Urges council to split votes in college so motion goes to all student consultation.

Aliya Yule, Wadham College: One oxford is antidemocratic. The city is labour or liberal. The rural areas are conservative. One oxford would mean oxford city has less of a voice. This is essentially Jerry Manderring. We should support this motion.

Ella-Taylor, Regent's College: Regent's prepares on motions and therefore it is not an excuse for other ousu reps to not come to council read up and prepared.

Marina Lambrakis, VP Grad: graduate accommodation is needed and that is reflected in the local plan and there is concerned that one Oxfordshire would affect that.

Beth Currie, VP CC: The city council is reflective of the students living and voting in

it. Therefore it is not unusual for OUSU to be in favour of this motion. OUSU and the city council have very similar policy because the city council cares for its student population. One oxford would mean we lose our voice and that is what OUSU should be protecting.

Move to vote heard

No opposition

Amended motion passes 44 for, 2 against, 3 absteintions

1. Request for funding for International Women's Day/Strike for Repeal event

Council Notes:

1. That 1 in 3 women in the UK will have an abortion. (British Pregnancy Advisory Service). Many Oxford students will have had an abortion or will choose to have one whilst being a student. OUSU has pro-choice policy, maintaining a stance that the best person to make decisions around pregnancy is the person themselves.
2. That women in Ireland still do not have access to safe and legal abortion. 12 women cross to the mainland everyday. Some die from unsafe abortions.
3. That there were a total of 216 Irish students at Oxford in 2016.
4. That the issue of access to safe and legal abortion is more important now than ever, with Trump reinstating the global gag act which prohibits US Aid from being used to fund any international aid group that gives women information about abortion, even if they do not perform the procedures. This not only reduces access to abortions, but also has severe implications for sex education and access to safe contraceptives.

Council Believes:

1. OUSU as an organisation should affirm the rights of all students to choose what happens in their lives and what happens with their bodies, including the choice of whether or not to continue with a pregnancy, and should campaign accordingly.
2. OUSU believes that abortion can be a difficult moral choice, and we should trust students to make it for themselves.

Council Resolves:

1. OUSU resolves to support the right of all pregnant people to choose, and to campaign in support of this right being legally established.
2. To oppose measures to make it more difficult for students to choose either to terminate a pregnancy or to carry it to term and to work to ensure that no additional restrictions are imposed at any level so that Oxford students have a real choice.
3. To campaign to extend students' right of practical access to an abortion, and to extend their rights of choice over their own pregnancies.
4. To work with other groups campaigning for the above objectives

5. OUSU therefore resolves to donate £200 from the Council Discretionary Fund in order to finance an event on 8th March. 8th March 2016 is also International Women's Day and the day that women in Ireland are going to strike if the government hasn't called a referendum by then to consider repealing the 8th Amendment (which prohibits abortion). The aforementioned event will raise both awareness and money to support this cause. It will be a play called 1 in 3 (The Abortion Monologues) followed by a short panel discussion and a drinks reception. Ticket sales will go to Abortion Support Network which is a grassroots charity that directly supports Irish women - i.e pays for their plane tickets to England or a place to stay the night of their abortion. The location is the Old Fire Station, so 50% of the cost for the venue hire also goes directly to Crisis (a homeless charity). It is expected to be sell out evening.

Proposed: Cat White, St Edmund Hall

Seconded: Orla White, OUSU

Orla White, VP Women: Abortion is legal in the republic of Ireland under the condition there is a risk to the life of the person who is pregnant. Therefore judgements have to be made on whether there is a risk to life and this leaves room for error. Irish people access abortion is travelling to a different country, usually to the mainland, to get a private abortion. This motion says 2016 but means 2017, if someone could amend that?

Amendment to change nots 3 to read "2017"

Taken as friendly

Point of information Elizabeth Wilkinson, Keble College: The case mentioned of woman dying from not having an abortion isn't technically correct as she died from the miscarriage.

Orla White, VP Women: She requested a termination during that and was refused one.

Guo Sheng Liu, LGBTQ Cam: Wouldn't it be better to give money directly to the charity instead of financing an event?

Orla White, VP Women: Potentially, there are other events we are hoping to run. Part of this is to show solidarity.

Katie Oldham, Wadham College: Tell us about the event

Orla White, VP Women: Will do workshops, demos of solidarity, a bop and lots of other things.

Megan, Merton College: How much money is there in the Council fund?

Fraser, Chair: £770 for the rest of the year

Megan, Merton College: Since there is only £770 might the money be better spent on something a little more closer to home? When we have so many people suffering on our doorstep.

Fraser, Chair: We'll take that as a debate point for later on

Anna, Pembroke College: The case of the women mentioned earlier died of septicaemia.

Orla White, VP Women: She still asked for an abortion and was denied it because the doctor was Christian.

Point of information, Dan, Pembroke College: She got septicaemia because she had to carry the child to term even though there was a 90% chance the baby had died. Therefore it is relevant to bring up the case.

Opposition seen

Rebecca, Somerville College: OUSU exist to represent all students at Oxford. I don't feel represented. OUSU does not represent the pro-life movement which many of Oxford's 22,000 students believe in. I don't understand why OUSU would give £200 of it's £770 to help a cause that its students do not represent. Where do people like me fit in? Because we are pro-life we do not deserve to be represented? This Union voted to pledge £50 per year to abortion rights. Now it is asking to give £200 more. The justification OUSU is making for this motion is that many women in their lifetime will end up having an abortion and that many students at oxford who fall pregnant will seek to terminate. It fails to recognize that many women do this because they feel they have no other alternative. Let's not pretend, abortion is a dangerous operation, aswell as taking away the life of a child it can leave the mother with physical and mental trauma. It can also have a deep adverse affect on the father of the child. As an organisation which cares for the welfare of its students shouldn't OUSU be working to make this option something student do not have to turn to? If OUSU truly want to help its students why doesn't it liaise with the university to put provisions in place for student parents? We must address that Oxford University is set up in a way that an unexpected pregnancy proves detrimental to studies. Why not tackle this? Also if OUSU is going to pledge its money to certain groups, why not pledge money to groups we can all advocate? Like organisations which helps victims of the refugee crisis? Surely a more inclusive move which would represent the student body at large. There are similar levels of maternal deaths in both Ireland and England showing there is no correlation between lack of abortion and maternal death. As a student who is pro-life I urge you to vote against this motion.

Point of information, Sandy, VP WEO: Not only women have abortions and not all fetuses have mothers and fathers.

Marina, VP Grad: the total council budget is £1500, £770 is just what is left. Student parents and carers is an area OUSU does a lot of work in.

Fraser, Chair: Reminder that OUSU Policy is made by democratic vote which is how it is representative

Dan, Pembroke College: We've had an organization get in touch with our CR to vote against this motion and come along as a third delegate. We did a student consultation with over 100 students from our college. 96% of them came out as supporting this motion. Therefore it is representative of Oxford to be pro-choice.

Lucas, Wadham College: Is it possible for a JCR to donate £200 to Council fund? Wadham will donate £200 to Council

Catherine, Wadham College: We should benefit students on our doorsteps, I'm an Irish student and I'm on your doorstep. People travel from Ireland to our doorsteps because they can't get support at home. It's not too much to ask to support Irish students. You can't prohibit abortion you can only prohibit safe abortion. Its not

about offering support to parents and carers its about people who don't want to be parents or carers. It's not always a last option and that's fine.

Orla White, VP Women: We have Irish students here but also this charity is about setting up networks of people in England to support those travelling in from Ireland. I think its important that OUSU is involved as a union which has democratically voted to support those who choose to have abortions.

Move to vote

Opposition seen

Eden Bailey, VP AcAff: We should move to vote because a number of different points have been heard and we are at risk of repeating ourselves.

Megan, Merton College: I oppose the move to vote because I oppose the motion but my reason for opposing the motion has not been voiced yet.

Move to vote passed with 34 for, 2 against and 2 absteintions

Orla White, VP Women: We have Irish student who are affected by this issue and it is important to show solidarity to others facing these issues.

Meganb, Merton College: I don't feel like this comes under the remit of OUSU. £200 is a significant amount from the Council fund and the Irish students who might face having an abortion makes up 0.1% of the student body. Also if you're Irish and your studying at Oxford then you're in the UK so you don't need to travel here to get the abortion. As someone who doesn't get involved in student politics too often I feel OUSU motions are coopted to forward political agenda. This strikes me as that kind of motion, it's very political and not particularly relevant to the lives of the majority of students at Oxford. For this reason I urge you to vote against this motion.

Motion passed with 35 for, 5 against and 3 absteintions

Motion to move item 5 up the agenda

No opposition, item moved

5. Supporting student walk out against racism, fascism and xenophobia

Council Notes:

1. That there has been a rise in racist, xenophobic and Islamophobic attacks since Brexit and the election of Donald Trump
2. That international students and migrant workers are facing uncertain times with increasing deportations and difficulties regarding visas and immigration status
3. That students of colour and Muslim students are suffering due to the invasive Islamophobic PREVENT duty
4. That many students in Oxford have been involved in protests about Donald Trump's "Muslim ban" in recognition of the dangers of racism, xenophobia and Islamophobia
5. That a national day of action in solidarity with migrants, refugees and people of colour has been called on the 20th February, which urges a student walk out

Council Believes:

1. That we must stand in solidarity with migrants, students of colour, international students and Muslim students
2. That Oxford students, recognising their high visibility, have a responsibility to participate in campaigns that fight racism

Council Resolves:

1. To support the walk-out
2. To mandate OUSU to email all students to inform them of the walk out and of OUSU's official support, and encourage them to participate
3. To mandate OUSU to inform the University and its departments of the walk out and of OUSU's official support, and encourage them to participate

Proposed by: Aliya Yule, Wadham College

Seconded by: Rivka Micklethwaite, St Catherine's College

Aliya Yule, Wadham College: On Monday there will be a discussion in parliament about Trump's state visit to the UK. There has been a call for a walk out on the 20th Feb against racism, xenophobia and for migrants. There will be a demo outside parliament. There will be a rally in Radcliffe square to support migrants. OUSU should send an all student email to inform students and also to email all staff so they can participate too.

Amendment: Add collegiate to resolves 3

Taken as friendly

No short factual questions

No opposition

Motion passes

3. Bye-Law Amendment 1st Reading

Council Notes:

1. Appendix 1: New Media Board Governance
2. Appendix 2: 1st Reading of updates Byelaws.

Council Believes:

1. In line with the current governance for the Media Board, finding ex-editors from the Oxford Student (Newspaper) and Oxide Radio, often who have graduated, is logistically difficult and causes delays in appointing editors.
2. Therefore, the updated membership of the selection panel which will consist of: a Sabbatical Trustee, a student trustee, a representative of the Chief Executive and the current editors of OxStu and Oxside.
3. Updated Media Governance allows easier selection of key student positions for Oxford Student and Oxide Radio.

Council Resolves:

1. To accept the 1st Reading of the Media Board Governance
2. To accept the 1st Reading of the updated Byelaws
3. To bring Byelaws back to 7th Week Hilary for 2nd Reading.

Proposed: Orla White, OUSU

Seconded: Jack Hampton, OUSU

Orla White, VP Women: This motion allows us to use the current editors on the media board.

No opposition

Motion passes

4. SW Support Motion

Council Notes:

1. That in Michaelmas term of 2015, OUSU Council passed policy 'to campaign particularly for the rights of student sex workers, including mandating Sabbatical Officers to advocate on behalf of sex workers' interests to the University.'
2. That the NUS Sex Worker Survey made numerous recommendations regarding how universities could best support student sex workers, including the recommendation that student-facing staff be offered training on responding to the needs of student sex workers sensitively, knowledgeably and without stigma.
3. That a survey of current students at Oxford who engage in sex work suggested that there was a general desire for staff to be more informed on issues relating to sex work.
4. That the current Vice President (Women) has been in communication with the English Collective of Prostitutes in order to arrange a workshop designed for university and college staff, from counsellors to junior deans, on supporting students who do sex work.
5. That this workshop would be provided on the condition of a donation of £100.
6. That while the University previously suggested they would be comfortable paying this fee, they have now rescinded the offer.
7. That the Vice President (Women) does not have the funds available in their own budget to cover this cost.

Council Believes:

1. That given the recommendations of NUS, and the support expressed by student sex workers, this workshop should be provided.
2. That working to better educate staff on supporting student sex workers should not rely on the unpaid labour of sex workers – therefore, ECP should financially benefit from providing this workshop.

Council Resolves:

1. To allot the Vice President (Women) £100 from the Council Discretionary Fund in order to enable the workshop to go ahead.
2. To mandate the Vice President (Women) to continue to lobby key members of the University to positively engage with the needs of student sex workers, and to express institutional support for members of our student body who engage in sex work.

Proposed: Orla White, OUSU

Seconded: Bianca Gillam, The Queen's College

Orla White, VP Women: NUS published a report on student from across higher education doing sex work. One of the recommendations is that student reps should get a level of training. This is a good idea as there are a number of misconceptions about women who do sex work and there are a lot of misconceptions about oxford women who do sex work. We're fairly sure that there is a higher number of oxford students involved in sex work than in other institutions around the country. So we need to train oxford staff and reps to respond appropriately to issues around student sex work. University promised funding but have now withdrawn that offer. Workshop will be open to anyone who defines as a student leader and staff will be invited too.

No short factual questions

No opposition

Motion passes

BELOW THE LINE

6. WomCam Constitution Motion

Council Notes:

1. That following discussion within the WomCam committee, and with other students who engage with WomCam, the WomCam constitution has been altered.
2. That among other things, this revision creates up to two Co-Chairs, a Working Class Women's Rep, and limits General Committee members to three, with one reserved General Committee place for a woman of colour.

Council Believes:

1. That this will enable WomCam to function more effectively.
2. That the decisions of WomCam's executive should be respected.

Council Resolves:

1. To ratify the amendments to the WomCam constitution, as provided in the Appendix.

Proposed: Orla White, OUSU

Seconded: Bianca Gillam, The Queen's College

7. Campaign Reps for Exec

Maya Tikly-Young (Target Schools Rep)
Anna Seccombe (On Your Doorstep Rep)
Isobel Whyte (It Happens Here Campaign Rep)
Imogen Learmonth (CR Rep)
Daniel Woods (CR Rep)

Proposed: Jack Hampton, OUSU

Seconded: Marina Lambrakis, OUSU

f. Items for debate

g. Any other business